

<b>APPLICATION NO:</b> 19/01591/FUL		<b>OFFICER:</b> Miss Claire Donnelly
<b>DATE REGISTERED:</b> 9th August 2019		<b>DATE OF EXPIRY :</b> 4th October 2019
<b>WARD:</b> Benhall/The Reddings		<b>PARISH:</b>
<b>APPLICANT:</b>	Mr And Mrs Smith	
<b>LOCATION:</b>	29 Unwin Road Cheltenham Gloucestershire	
<b>PROPOSAL:</b>	Proposed detached bungalow and associated parking	

## REPRESENTATIONS

Number of contributors	<b>3</b>
Number of objections	<b>3</b>
Number of representations	<b>0</b>
Number of supporting	<b>0</b>

1 Unwin Close  
Cheltenham  
Gloucestershire  
GL51 6PF

**Comments:** 3rd September 2019

Regarding the application for a bungalow (19/01591/FUL) I will further state that as you must be aware by now that the owner of 29 Unwin Road does not own the strip of land he wishes to cross for access to the development. Its all very well to argue that this does not affect the planning decision but it does. By ignoring this fact the planning dept is condoning the illegal encroachment of public land and the destruction of public property ie land the belongs to you and I. This planning application should never have gone this far and should have been thrown out when it first turned up. I trust this will go before the full planning committee and not just the delegated panel as it deserves it.

2 Unwin Close  
Cheltenham  
Gloucestershire  
GL51 6PF

**Comments:** 3rd September 2019

Our comments still remain for the objection as stated on the previous 19/01591/FUL application.

Moving the plot back 1.9 mtrs to the actual boundary line does not alter the VISUAL or NOISE aspect of the development. The 1.9 mtr strip of land is the property of Gloucestershire County Highways and is maintained by them. About a third of this screening hedge was remove without permission prior to application.

The applicant has NOT stated the business use involved on his application. This is paramount to the overall noise pollution and disturbance to the Close. All the relevant tasks parking lorries and vans let alone the checking and cleaning of Bouncy Castles all in full view of the lounges of existing properties in the Close. We have already had the lorry and van parked in the Close (trial run?)

We feel the applicant must be asked by the COMMITTEE PRIOR TO PERMISSION how or where he will run his business seeing as he's applied for RESIDENTIAL USE for the development only.

5 Unwin Close  
Cheltenham  
Gloucestershire  
GL51 6PF

**Comments:** 30th August 2019

I write as a resident of Unwin Close and object strongly to this application. All points from previous objection still stand.

I am not sure why there are now 2 properties in Unwin Road linked to this application ??

I appreciate that permission was granted before and the system means that there is not really much point resubmitting an objection. Please see previous reasons for objections.

The application is contrary to the Local Plan in relation to garden grab. The local authority has set out policies to resist inappropriate development of residential gardens where proposals would not only harm but impact adversely on the overall local environment. Trees were removed when they should not have been last year by the applicant.

Our main issue is the fact that the applicant uses their current address to run their Bouncy Castle business. Whilst I have been told frequently that planning will not look at this because it is an application for a domestic residence only, BUT the basic principle is that business use doesn't need planning permission if it's considered to be incidental to normal residential use. This planning application (if then used to run business) is far more than incidental to normal residential use.

It is likely to generate levels of noise, visitors, traffic, fumes or any other external effect that goes above and beyond what might normally be expected at a house.

Please can the question be asked if the business will be run from this new property. As per our previous objections there are large vehicles, business equipment etc all linked to the current 'domestic' premises that may potentially be moving to our quiet residential cul de sac.. And then consideration be given to restrictions.